

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/489,640 | 01/24/2000 | James W Klett | 6321-157 | 6076 | |
| 7590 | 11/14/2002 | | | | |
| Gregory A. Nelson Akerman, Senterfitt & Eidson, P.A. 222 Lakeview Avenue Suite 400 P.O. Box 3188 West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3188 | | | ЕХАМГ | NER | |
| | | | HENDRICKSON | N, STUART L | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1754 | 19 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/14/2002 | 1 1 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | (W |
|---|---|---|---|---------------------------|
| Action Action Occurrence | Application No. | Applicant(s) | Cleff | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Group Art Unit | |
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | on the cover sheet be | neath the co | rrespondence add | ress — |
| Period for Reply | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | EXPIRE | MONTH(S |) FROM THE MAIL | NG DATE |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a refl NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinterm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | oly within the statutory mini expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro Ite, cause the application to | mum of thirty (3 m the mailing do become ABAN | 0) days will be consider ate of this communicati IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13 | ed timely. on. 13). |
| Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on | h | | | |
| ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on | <u>q</u> | | | · |
| ☐ This action is FINAL. | | | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935. | | | o the merits is clos | sed in |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| | · . | is/are p | ending in the applic | ation. |
| Of the above claim(s) | | is/are w | rithdrawn from cons | ideration. |
| | A 1- | is/are a | llowed. | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | 0-1) | is/are re | ejected. | |
| Claim(s) $\int \int \int$ | 3,89,120-131 | is/are o | bjected to. | |
| □ Claim(s) | | | | electi n |
| Application Papers | | require | | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | | ☐ disapprove | ed. | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object | ed to by the Examiner | | | |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) | | | | |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) | -(d). | | |
| ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: | | | • | |
| ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re | | | | |
| ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re | | o | • | |
| ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents | | | | |
| in this national stage application from the International *Certified copies not received: | • | | | |
| Attachment(s) | ······································ | | | • |
| ∑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(| s). □ In | terview Sumr | nary, PTO-413 | |
| Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 | | | nal Pat nt Applicati | n DTO 150 |
| ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat nt Drawing R view, PTO-948 | | 1 1 | Movil native | F10-152 |
| Office Ac | tion Summary | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Art Unit: 1754

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I and water in Paper No. 16/18 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the species should be examined together. This is not found persuasive because the species are chemically distinct and are classified in different places. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 58 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A) Claim 58 is unclear as to show what is not a solid.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 56-74, 76-78 and 80-82 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hopper et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/489,640

Art Unit: 1754

Hopper teaches in column 6 graphite foam with water. It appears that the water impregnates the graphite; no differences are seen. The properties not disclosed are deemed met since it is a high porosity graphitic material.

Claims 56-59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Frank et al. 3979196.

Frank teaches glassy carbon with water in the pores in column 3.

The remaining references on the IDS forms will be considered when the parent files are available.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Hendrickson at telephone number (703) 308-2539.

Stuart Hendrickson examiner Art Unit 1754